<u>SLWP03c - Additional Modifications to the Draft South London Waste Plan - May 2022</u>

- Strikethrough text indicates a proposed deletion
- Bold Underlined indicates a proposed addition to the text

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Pro	posed Changes	Reason for Change
1	-	- Request for Representations	the Royal Borough of Kingston, the Lo Sutton to guide the development of wi includes policies to guide waste treatm This document is termed the Submissi	•	Factual update as information on previous consultation stages not required.
				sion of the South London Waste Plan is undertaken to 9 of The Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) isal is also available for consultation.	
			from Friday 4 September to Thurs The planned timetable for the South Le	,	
			February June 2019	Evidence Gathering	
			October - December 2019	Issues and Preferred Options Consultation	
			September - October 2020	Submission Version Representations	
			November 2020	Submission to the Secretary of State	
			January 2021	Examination in Public	
			March onwards	Adoption	

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
2	4	After paragraph 2.8	Add a new paragraph after existing paragraph 2.8: Other national strategies that are relevant to waste planning include: • The 25 Year Environment Plan (2018) • Our Waste, Our Resources: A Strategy for England (2018) • Independent review into serious and organised crime in the waste sector (2018)	Factual update to reflect available guidance.
3	5	Paragraph 2.10	Delete "2019 London Plan Intend to Publish (ItP)" and replace with "London Plan 2021". Repeat this modification for all "references to the '2019 London Plan ItP' in the draft Plan	Update to reflect publication of the London Plan in March 2021.
4	8	Image	Replace image on page 8 with a proper covered facility.	So the Plan shows best practice examples.
5	16	Para 3.25	To properly recognise this additional recycling activity, the South London Waste Plan Technical Report 2019 has used Environment Agency data for five years to 2017 to produce an average recycling rate practiced within the waste transfer facility. This has been updated to include the latest available Environment Agency data for 2018 and 2019. The average recycling rate has then been counted towards the apportionment target and not as waste transfer.	Factual update to ensure consistency within the SLWP.
6	43	Qualifying throughput	Add after final sentence: Sites identified as having zero capacity do not currently contribute towards the apportionment or C&D arisings. However, these sites have potential to contribute to waste targets in future years if the amount of waste managed onsite increases e.g. through intensification.	To improve the clarity of the SLWP.
7	27	Paragraph 5.28	Delete "Thames Water Limited" and replace with "Thames Water Utilities Limited"	Factual correction.
8	43	How to read the site information	Delete: Currently safeguarded: If a site was safeguarded in the 2011 South London waste Plan	When the draft South London Waste Plan is adopted it will no longer be necessary to refer to designations in the current SLWP as it will be superseded entirely.

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
9	44 to 91	Currently Safeguarded	Delete all 'currently safeguarded' references in the SLWP across all site sheets.	When the draft South London Waste Plan is adopted all sites that appear in this section will be safeguarded. Therefore it will no longer be necessary to refer to designations in the current SLWP as it will be superseded entirely.
10	44 to 91	Issues to Consider	Replace the bulleted list under 'Issues to consider if there is a further application' with a lettered or numbered list. This applies to all sites.	To make the SLWP clearer and easier to navigate.
11	44	Site C1 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within an archaeological priority area the Mere Bank (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area"	Factual update.
12	45	Site C4 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within an archaeological priority area the London to Brighton Road (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area"	Factual update.
13	46	Site C5A Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any <u>archaeological</u> remains in the Ampere Way <u>(Tier</u> 2) Archaeology Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.
14	47	Site C5B Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any <u>archaeological</u> remains in the Ampere Way <u>(Tier</u> 2) Archaeology Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.
15	48	Site C6 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any <u>archaeological</u> remains in the Croydon Downs (Tier 3) Archaeology Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
16	50	Site C8 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains in the Mere Bank (Tier 2) Archaeology Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.
17	51	Site C9 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within an archaeological priority area the Croydon Downs (Tier 3) Archaeological Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.
18	52	Site C10 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any <u>archaeological</u> remains in the archaeology priority <u>area London to Brighton Roman Road Archaeological Priority Area (Tier 2)</u>	Factual correction and update.
19	54	Site C12 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the archaeological consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains (Tier 4)"	Factual correction and update.
20	55	Site C13 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the Archaeological Priority Area consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any <u>archaeological</u> remains in the Ampere Way <u>(Tier 2)</u> Archaeology Priority Area"	Factual correction and update.
21	61	Site M1 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within</u> the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
22	62	Site M2 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
23	63	Site M3 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
24	66	Site M6 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
25	68	Site M8 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
26	70	Site M10 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Earlsfield (Tier 3) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
27	72	Site M12 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Earlsfield (Tier 3) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
28	73	Site M13 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within</u> the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
29	74	Site M14 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Earlsfield (Tier 3) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
30	75	Site M15 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
31	76	Site M16 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains <u>as the site lies within</u> the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
32	77	Site M17 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
33	78	Site M18 Issues to Consider	Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains as the site lies within the Wandle Valley / Mitcham (Tier 2) Archaeological Priority Area	Factual update
34	81	Site S2 Licensed Capacity	Under "licensed capacity (tpa)" for Site S2 'Beddington Farmlands Energy Recovery Facility' Delete "302,500" and replace with "347,422"	Factual update to reflect changes to the licensed capacity granted by the Environment Agency.
35	100	Appendix 2	Add note under table: All safeguarded sites are listed in the table, including those that at the time of publication did not contribute towards the Apportionment and C&D Target. However, these sites have potential to contribute to waste targets in future years if the amount of waste managed onsite increases e.g. through intensification.	To improve the clarity of the SLWP.

Ref.	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
30	104	Appendix 4 Glossary	Beneficial Use The placement of excavation waste in a way that: (1) provides environmental benefits, particularly in the restoration of priority habitats, flood alleviation or climate change adaptation/mitigation; or (2) contributes towards the restoration of landfill sites or mineral workings; or (3) uses excavated material within the development	Factual update.
32	105	Appendix 4 Glossary	Heritage Asset A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. Heritage asset includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).	Factual update to make the SLWP clearer.
33	105	Appendix 4 Glossary	Open Space All open space of public value, including not just land, but also areas of water (such as rivers, canals, lakes and reservoirs) which offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can act as a visual amenity.	Clarification from the Partner Boroughs